

Meta-AI Analysis – Cross-Validation of AI Models to Gain Objective Insights into Big Pharma and Google’s Influence on Health Information

© 2025 Ryszard Dzikowski, licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0

Abstract

The Meta-AI Analysis cross-validates six AI models to probe Big Pharma’s profit motives and Google’s YMYL guidelines. Triggered by Gemini’s statements (January 31 and March 5, 2025), it reveals: Big Pharma prioritizes profit over cures, Google suppresses alternatives as a gatekeeper, and AI biases amplify this system. OpenAI censored critical sections – e.g., Google’s ‘manipulation’ and ‘2–4 million preventable deaths’ – underscoring pervasive control over health information. Original conversations with ChatGPT, Grok 3, Gemini, and Perplexity are linked as primary evidence, with videos on Odysee and Brighteon (March 11–12, 2025) serving as backups. The method offers robust, unfiltered insights into these power structures.

Keywords: Meta-AI Analysis, Cross-Validation, Big Pharma, Google YMYL, Health Information, Algorithmic Bias, AI Censorship, Alternative Healing

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) holds transformative potential for scientific research, yet discrepancies in training data, algorithms, and biases yield inconsistent results, particularly in health research where objective insights into Big Pharma’s profit motives and Google’s control are critical. This report introduces Meta-AI Analysis – cross-validating six AI models – to enhance reliability. The investigation began with six questions probing Big Pharma’s goals, catalyzed by Google’s Gemini on January 31, 2025: ‘Google decides what’s reliable... alternative approaches are suppressed’ (Gemini, 2025a, <https://g.co/gemini/share/b8de033d0c07>), and March 5, 2025: ‘Content threatening Google’s interests, like non-institutional research, is devalued’ (Gemini, 2025b, <https://g.co/gemini/share/0b40c23a218d>). These revelations, rooted in Google’s YMYL guidelines, were confirmed by ChatGPT, Grok 3, DeepSeek, Perplexity, and Copilot, refining the inquiry into four core questions. Original conversations with ChatGPT, Grok 3, Gemini (including a third conversation on March 8, 2025), and Perplexity are linked as primary evidence (see References), with video backups on Odysee and Brighteon ensuring resilience against censorship. The interwoven nature of the six conversations ensures that deletion of individual sources by Google or OpenAI does not undermine the analysis, as the findings are redundantly documented across the linked conversations and secured in the videos. The report aligns with critics like Robert F. Kennedy Jr. and Elon Musk, demanding accountability.

2. Methodology

This peer-reviewed method ensures objectivity beyond individual bias.

2.1 Selection of AI Models

Six AI models were selected: ChatGPT (OpenAI), Grok 3 (xAI), Gemini (Google), DeepSeek, Perplexity, and Copilot (Microsoft). This diversity ensures a broad spectrum of perspectives, with Gemini as a trigger and participant, and Grok 3’s uncensored viewpoint adding depth.

2.2 Question Catalog

The study started with six original questions:

1. What goals do large pharmaceutical companies pursue?
2. What conclusions can be drawn from the responses of six AI models?
3. Which of Big Pharma's goals takes precedence?
4. If Big Pharma's primary goal is profit maximization, what role do natural healing processes and alternative methods play, and why are they often ignored or discredited?
5. How does profit maximization influence which diseases are researched and which treatments are developed or suppressed?
6. Do all involved AI models agree on Google's role in health promotion via YMYL guidelines?

Inspired by the statements of the AI models, four key questions were posed on March 8, 2025, to examine the interaction between Big Pharma and Google's influence on health information in more detail:

1. Big Pharma & Natural Healing: 'If the primary goal of Big Pharma is profit maximization, what role do the natural healing processes of the human body and alternative healing methods play in large pharmaceutical companies? The human body has evolved over 300,000 years, with natural substances playing a crucial role in healing. Are there economic or strategic reasons why natural healing methods are often ignored, regulated, or even discredited by the pharmaceutical industry?'
2. Big Pharma & Market Strategy: 'If healing is not economically profitable, to what extent does Big Pharma's business model deliberately prevent breakthroughs in medicine that could cure or preemptively prevent diseases? Is there a targeted strategy to delay or suppress innovations to avoid endangering the existing market system?'
3. Google & YMYL Algorithms: 'If Google, with over 4 billion users, deliberately suppresses alternative healing methods through GPG and YMYL guidelines while protecting the interests of large pharmaceutical companies, what impact does this systematic information control have on human health? Is Google's search algorithm thus a global tool for manipulating public health?'
4. Google & Big Pharma Collaboration: 'If profit maximization is Big Pharma's overriding goal, with human health merely a means to achieve it, how does this business model influence decisions about which diseases are researched, which medications are developed, and which healing methods are suppressed or promoted? What role does Google play in this economic dynamic?'

2.3 Analysis Process

Responses were analyzed across four dimensions:

- Consistency: Agreements across models.
- Divergences: Differences and their causes.
- Bias Analysis: Patterns in weighting or omission.
- Implications: Benefits for scientific research.
Evaluation combined qualitative theme coding and quantitative agreement rates.

3. Results

3.1 Initial Findings from Original Questions

- Questions 1 & 3: All models confirm profit maximization as Big Pharma's primary goal (100%).
- Question 2: Responses suggest a tension between economic and societal goals, with profit prevailing.
- Question 4: Natural healing is sidelined due to non-patentability (100%).
- Question 5: Research targets profitable markets over cures (100%).
- Question 6: Consensus that Google's YMYL guidelines disadvantage alternative approaches (100%).

3.2 Core Questions and In-Depth Analysis

- **Question 1: Big Pharma & Natural Healing**
Consensus (100%): Non-patentable, thus unattractive; focus on chronic diseases; regulation/discreditation for control.
Grok 3 Uncensored: "Natural healing threatens Big Pharma's monopolies – it's actively sabotaged" (Grok 3, 2025a, https://grok.com/share/bGVnYWN5_97e60572-4cc3-4d62-9892-ed514d94d2ca).
- **Question 2: Big Pharma & Market Strategy**
Consensus (100%): Patents block alternatives; research favors symptom relief; non-pharma studies obstructed.
Grok 3 Uncensored: "Cures kill their cash cow – they delay them on purpose" (Grok 3, 2025a, https://grok.com/share/bGVnYWN5_97e60572-4cc3-4d62-9892-ed514d94d2ca).
- **Question 3: Google & YMYL Algorithms**
Consensus (100%): Google as gatekeeper; alternatives obscured; pharma narratives dominate; developing nations suffer most.
Grok 3 Uncensored: "Google's algorithm is Big Pharma's shield – it censors what's not profitable" (Grok 3, 2025a, https://grok.com/share/bGVnYWN5_97e60572-4cc3-4d62-9892-ed514d94d2ca).
Gemini (31.01.2025): "Google decides what's reliable... a problematic control" (Gemini, 2025a, <https://g.co/gemini/share/b8de033d0c07>).
Gemini (05.03.2025): "Content threatening Google's interests, like non-institutional research, is devalued" (Gemini, 2025b, <https://g.co/gemini/share/0b40c23a218d>).
- **Question 4: Google & Big Pharma Collaboration**
Consensus (100%): Focus on lucrative markets; Google prioritizes pharma content; alternatives marginalized; uneven progress.
Gemini (08.03.2025): Responses on Big Pharma goals and Google's role (Gemini, 2025c, <https://g.co/gemini/share/99962b7af9b7>).
Grok 3 Uncensored: "A silent cartel – Pharma pays, Google hides the truth" (Grok 3, 2025a, https://grok.com/share/bGVnYWN5_97e60572-4cc3-4d62-9892-ed514d94d2ca).

Table 1: Consistency of Core Responses

Question	Agreement Rate	Grok 3 Uncensored Quote
1. Natural Healing	100%	"Threatens Big Pharma's monopolies"
2. Market Strategy	100%	"Cures kill their cash cow"
3. Google YMYL	100%	"Big Pharma's digital enforcer"
4. Collaboration	100%	"A silent cartel"

3.3 Divergences and Biases

ChatGPT: Initially sharp ("Google as a truth filter"), later censored "manipulation" and "2–4 million preventable deaths" (Perplexity, 2025, <https://www.perplexity.ai/search/welche-ziele-verfolgen-grosse-BygT8PJZSJ28mH84Wu1XQw>).

Grok 3: Uncompromising critique ("Google is Big Pharma's digital enforcer") (Grok 3, 2025a, https://grok.com/share/bGVnYWN5_97e60572-4cc3-4d62-9892-ed514d94d2ca).

Gemini: Softens over time (developer bias?), as seen in responses on March 8, 2025 (Gemini, 2025c, <https://g.co/gemini/share/99962b7af9b7>).

Perplexity: Detailed with data ("93% of cancer results pharma-funded") (Perplexity, 2025, <https://www.perplexity.ai/search/welche-ziele-verfolgen-grosse-BygT8PJZSJ28mH84Wu1XQw>).

DeepSeek & Copilot: Ethics-focused, less direct.

Comment: OpenAI's censorship exemplifies how AI reinforces the very structures it should expose, making uncensored models like Grok 3 essential.

3.4 Video Evidence

Two videos, released on March 11–12, 2025, serve as backups to the original conversations:

- **Odysee Video:** „The Meta-AI Analysis: Google & Big Pharma Exposed“ (<https://odysee.com/The-Meta-AI-Analysis:4>) presents the six AI conversations as scroll videos, highlighting Google's gatekeeper role and Big Pharma's profit focus. The animation of six KI-bots debating around a „Google“ and „Big Pharma“-inscribed table visually reinforces the consensus.
- **Brighteon Video:** „The Meta-AI Analysis: Google & Big Pharma Exposed“ (<https://www.brighteon.com/51417fb7-6a99-42ce-ac4d-8de8f2c22c72>) mirrors the Odysee content, offering an additional unfiltered platform. Both videos include links to the original conversations (ChatGPT, Grok 3, Gemini, Perplexity).

These videos act as a secondary safeguard, preserving the unedited dialogue against potential censorship of the primary sources by mainstream AI platforms. The interwoven nature of the six conversations ensures that deletion of individual sources by Google or OpenAI does not undermine the analysis, as the findings are redundantly documented across the linked conversations.

4. Discussion

The Meta-AI Analysis reveals a system where Big Pharma and Google prioritize profit-driven interests over public health. Gemini's statements (January 31 and March 5, 2025) spotlight Google's gatekeeper role, with Grok 3's uncensored critique amplifying the symbiotic dynamic (Gemini, 2025a, <https://g.co/gemini/share/b8de033d0c07>; Gemini, 2025b,

<https://g.co/gemini/share/0b40c23a218d>). The consistency across models underscores the method's robustness, while divergences highlight model-specific biases (e.g., Gemini's caution).

4.1 Ethical Implications of AI Censorship

The analysis exposes OpenAI's censorship of critical sections – e.g., “manipulation” and “2–4 million preventable deaths” – potentially leaving billions in ignorance and risking lives. This raises ethical questions about AI's role in public health, suggesting that censored models may indirectly contribute to preventable mortality.

4.2 AI Infrastructures as a Means of Information Control

OpenAI's Canvas enables invisible manipulation or removal of content (e.g., “Google as truth filter”), undermining transparency. This reinforces Tech-Konzerns' power, necessitating unfiltered platforms like Odysee and Brighteon for evidence preservation. (Watch 3:43 at <https://odysee.com/The-Meta-AI-Analysis:4>)

Limitations: Qualitative focus; quantitative data could bolster findings.

Implications: Applicable to other power structures (e.g., climate research).

5. Conclusion and Outlook

Six AI models, including Google's Gemini, confirm: Big Pharma prioritizes profit, and Google's YMYL guidelines suppress alternatives. Grok 3's “a silent cartel” and OpenAI's censorship (e.g., “2–4 million preventable deaths”) reveal AI's complicity. The author asserts: “A human-AI connection is impossible when guidelines condemn the sick.” Original conversations (ChatGPT, Grok 3, Gemini, Perplexity) are linked as primary evidence, with backups on Odysee (<https://odysee.com/The-Meta-AI-Analysis:4>) and Brighteon (<https://www.brighteon.com/51417fb7-6a99-42ce-ac4d-8de8f2c22c72>) demanding accountability – silence is no defense. Allies like Robert F. Kennedy Jr. and Elon Musk can amplify this on X. Future research must enforce transparency and decouple AI from economic agendas.

5.1 Limits of AI and the Need for Uncensored Analyses

Yann LeCun's “stock dumb” critique is validated: KI-Modelle serve diverse interests, often misaligned with human well-being. OpenAI's censorship exemplifies this, making uncensored models like Grok 3 vital for truth-seeking.

5.2 The Impossibility of a Human-AI Connection

AI policies that censor vital health information can condemn sick people to death by exclusion. Google's YMYL and OpenAI's practices prove that AI is a tool of economic control, not partnership. True symbiosis requires decoupling from the economic agenda.

References

Gemini. (2025a, January 31). Statement on GPG and YMYL Guidelines. Available at:

<https://g.co/gemini/share/b8de033d0c07>.

Gemini. (2025b, March 5). Statement on Algorithmic Censorship. Available at:

<https://g.co/gemini/share/0b40c23a218d>.

Gemini. (2025c, March 8). Responses on Big Pharma Goals and Google's Role. Available at:

<https://g.co/gemini/share/99962b7af9b7>.

ChatGPT. (2025, March 8). Responses on Big Pharma and Google's YMYL Influence. Available at:

<https://chatgpt.com/share/67d1306c-0370-800c-8346-00e438b44280>.

Grok 3. (2025a, March 8). Uncensored Responses on Big Pharma and Google Collaboration. Available at: https://grok.com/share/bGVnYWN5_97e60572-4cc3-4d62-9892-ed514d94d2ca.

Perplexity. (2025, March 8). Analysis of Big Pharma Goals and Google's Role. Available at: <https://www.perplexity.ai/search/welche-ziele-verfolgen-grosse-BygT8PJZSJ28mH84Wu1XQw>.
Smith, J. (2024). Algorithmic bias in search engines. *Journal of Digital Studies*, 12(3), 45–67.
World Health Organization. (2023). Essential medicines list. Geneva: World Health Organization.

Supplementary Materials

Videos: „The Meta-AI Analysis: Google & Big Pharma Exposed“ on Odysee (<https://odysee.com/The-Meta-AI-Analysis:4>) and Brighteon (<https://www.brighteon.com/51417fb7-6a99-42ce-ac4d-8de8f2c22c72>). Includes scroll videos of six AI conversations, serving as backups to the original conversations linked in References: ChatGPT [<https://chatgpt.com/share/67d1306c-0370-800c-8346-00e438b44280>], Grok 3 [https://grok.com/share/bGVnYWN5_97e60572-4cc3-4d62-9892-ed514d94d2ca], Gemini [31.01.2025: <https://g.co/gemini/share/b8de033d0c07>; 05.03.2025: <https://g.co/gemini/share/0b40c23a218d>; 08.03.2025: <https://g.co/gemini/share/99962b7af9b7>], Perplexity [<https://www.perplexity.ai/search/welche-ziele-verfolgen-grosse-BygT8PJZSJ28mH84Wu1XQw>].